### **STORM WATER REPORT**

#### FOR KANE COUNTY PUD

## PROPOSED SOLAR FACILITY ON 0 DIETRICH ROAD, HAMPSHIRE, KANE COUNTY, IL

Prepared for

New Leaf Energy 55 Technology Drive, Suite 102 Lowell, MA 01851

Prepared by



#### Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd.

9575 West Higgins Road, Suite 600 Rosemont, IL 60018 847-823-0500 CBBEL Project No. 230040.00070

June 2024

Edmund Burke Illinois Registered Professional Engineer No. 062-072858

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Table of Cor	itents	i
List of Exhib	its	i
List of Calcu	lations	i
Chapter 1	Project Overview	1
1.1 Int	roduction	1
1.2 Pu	rpose	1
Chapter 2	Stormwater Management	2
2.1 Exi	sting Stormwater System	2
2.2 Pro	oposed Stormwater System	2
2.3 Ph	otovoltaic Stormwater Management Research and Testing (PV-SMaRT)	3
2.4 BN	1Ps	3
Chapter 3	Floodplain Impacts	4
Chapter 4	Wetland Impacts	5
Chapter 5	Summary	6

# LIST OF EXHIBITS

- 1. General Location Map
- 2. Existing Drainage Plan
- 3. Wetland Map
- 4. Floodplain Map
- 5. Soils Map
- 6. Proposed Drainage Plan

# LIST OF CALCULATIONS

- 1. BMPs
- 2. XP-SWMM
- 3. PV-SMaRT



### CHAPTER 1 PROJECT OVERVIEW

#### **1.1 INTRODUCTION**

New Leaf Energy, Inc. ("NLE"), along with Christopher B Burke Engineering, LTD ("CBBEL"), is requesting a Special Use Permit to allow for the development of a 4.99-megawatt AC community solar generating facility on approximately 30 acres of a 60-acre parent parcel, which consists of wooded and agricultural land, located at the northwest corner of Dietrich Road and Brier Hill Road in Hampshire.

NLE, through its affiliated CBBEL, proposes the development of a 4.99-megawatt AC solar photovoltaic system on a single parcel of land in Hampshire, Illinois, with the Assessor Parcel Number (APN) of 01-01-0100-002, (the "Project"). The project will consist of a single-axis tracking ground-mounted solar array, associated electrical equipment, an access driveway, and fencing.

#### **1.2 PURPOSE**

This memorandum summarizes the preliminary stormwater implications for a proposed solar array proposed to be constructed on 0 Dietrich Road. The site's general location is shown on Exhibit 1. The proposed solar array includes constructing a 29.5-acre solar field, including 0.42 acres of gravel access road and 0.05 acres of equipment pad for a total added impervious area of 0.47 acres (20,473 ft<sup>2</sup>).



### CHAPTER 2 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT

#### 2.1 EXISTING STORMWATER SYSTEM

Under current conditions, the site has two outfalls where surface runoff leaves the site. There are no closed systems within the site. The site is a mix of forest strips of land enclosing a mix of agricultural areas. The first outfall is a swale that leaves the agriculture field on the north side of the property at the location of an existing wetland without depressional storage. The second outfall is sheet flow reaching an existing wetland with depressional storage on the western side of the property. The FEMA FIRM maps indicated no regulatory floodplain onsite and are provided as Exhibit 4. There are two wetlands located at the outfalls of the project. The wetland locations are shown on Exhibit 3. The existing drainage plan is provided as Exhibit 2.

#### 2.2 PROPOSED STORMWATER SYSTEM

As part of the proposed solar array, gravel roads, and equipment panels are proposed to be constructed. There will be minor tree/shrub clearing locations to construct the proposed fence line, and this total area is under 1 Acre. The ground cover in locations where solar panels are installed will be restored to a meadow seed type. Runoff rates will not be increased as part of the proposed improvements. Table 2-1 summarizes the proposed impacts. The added impervious area is under 25,000 ft<sup>2</sup> (0.47 Acres), and the overall hydrologically disturbed area is under 3 acres. Based on the ordinance table 9-81, no detention is required. The proposed drainage plans are provided as Exhibit 6.

Gravel Road Area	0.42	Acres
Equipment Pad	0.05	Acres
Total Impervious	0.47	Acres
Tree Clearing	0.5	Acres
Total Hydrologically Disturbed Area	0.97	Acres

Table 2-1 Impact Area Summary

An XP-SWMM model was created to verify that no runoff rate increase was observed. Supporting calculations/critical output are provided in the Appendix.

	Existing Release Rate (CFS)	Proposed Release Rate (CFS)
Outlet 1	117.1	99.9
Outlet 2	73.3	84.4



#### Table 2-2 Flow Summary

Additional Calculations include the PV-SMaRT calculator, indicating that the solar panels' construction does not drastically modify the runoff CN from the site, indicating that the complete site is not hydrologically disturbed.

#### 2.3 PHOTOVOLTAIC STORMWATER MANAGEMENT RESEARCH AND TESTING (PV-SMART)

The Photovoltaic Stormwater Management Research and Testing project (PV-SMaRT) calculates the runoff differences between pre-development and post-development (Solar Array Construction). This calculator for stormwater management and water quality at groundmounted solar sites was developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory ("NREL") in partnership with the University of Minnesota, Great Plains Institute, and Fresh Energy. PV-SMaRT factors in the impacts of precipitation hitting the panel and the infiltration of the adjacent land use. The program utilizes the worst-case scenario of panels at full tilt, causing the highest runoff velocity. PV-SMaRT's findings show 58% less runoff than the SCS Curve Number Method for sites with solar panels present with pollinator plantings.

This report includes PV-SMaRT findings as supplemental calculations (Calculations 3). The soil map included in Exhibit 5 shows where the inputs originated. This included utilizing the soil type, depth to restrictive layer, and bulk density from the USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey. Weighted values were calculated for the site as no boring data was available at the time of this submittal. For pre-construction calculations, existing vegetation was classified as poorly managed row crops. For post-construction calculations, panel spacing of 20 ft and panel width of 7 ft were inputted along with newly established pollinator vegetation.

Because the runoff CN is impacted so minimally, it was concluded that the overall site is not hydrologically disturbed.

#### 2.4 BMPS

Table 9-107 indicates that Category 1 BMPs are required since the disturbed area is over 5,000 ft<sup>2</sup>. The total BMP volume for the site is 1,706 ft<sup>3</sup>. This is based on the added impervious area of 0.47 Acres. BMP Volumes were also calculated using PV-SMaRT for one inch of runoff. PV-SMaRT factors in the presence of solar panels and the change in land use to determine runoff that will be runoff from the solar field. This calculation shows a required value of 2105 ft<sup>3</sup>. A proposed infiltration area is proposed to meet the required BMP volumes. The site is shown on the PDP.



## CHAPTER 3 FLOODPLAIN IMPACTS

The Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) of Kane County, Illinois, and Incorporated Areas, map number 17089C0040H, effective date August 3, 2009, was reviewed to determine the location of regulatory floodplain within the study areas. The FIRM indicates that a 100-year regulatory floodplain is not mapped within the study area.



### CHAPTER 4 WETLAND IMPACTS

On December 13, 2023, Christopher B. Burke Engineering, Ltd. (CBBEL) completed a field investigation of the Dietrich Road Solar PV Array Project study area to determine the onsite wetland boundaries. Two (2) wetlands were identified within the study area using the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region (August 2010). The wetland boundaries were flagged and located with a handheld submeter GPS unit. The wetland locations are shown on Exhibit 3.

Wetland 1 consisted of a large pond of an unknown depth with a small, emergent wetland fringe and a rocky/muddy shoreline. Wetland 2 consisted of a depressional area with emergent vegetation located in the north/northwest portion of the project area.

The project limits are outside of all wetland buffers; therefore there will be no impact to either wetland onsite.



### CHAPTER 5 SUMMARY

The proposed solar system meets the requirements laid out in the Kane Stormwater Ordinance. BMP volume will be provided onsite via an infiltration basin. The permit will be submitted at a future date during the construction plan phase of the project.





**General Location Map** 





Existing Drainage Map





Wetland Map





Floodplain Map





Soils Map







USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



# Bulk Density, One-Third Bar

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (grams per	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
		cubic centimeter)		
323C2	Casco loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	1.56	1.6	2.6%
325B	Dresden silt loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	1.54	14.9	24.9%
325C2	Dresden silt loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	1.54	1.3	2.3%
327C2	Fox silt loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	1.56	0.1	0.1%
330A	Peotone silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	1.43	0.1	0.2%
343A	Kane silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	1.58	1.5	2.6%
523A	Dunham silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	1.45	11.7	19.7%
527C2	Kidami loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	1.59	5.4	9.1%
527D2	Kidami loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	1.61	5.6	9.5%
618E	Senachwine silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	1.61	2.1	3.5%
802B	Orthents, loamy, 1 to 6 percent slopes	1.75	8.3	14.0%
1103A	Houghton muck, undrained, 0 to 2 percent slopes	0.21	0.7	1.2%
W	Water		6.2	10.3%
Totals for Area of Inter	est	59.6	100.0%	

## Description

Bulk density, one-third bar, is the ovendry weight of the soil material less than 2 millimeters in size per unit volume of soil at water tension of 1/3 bar, expressed in grams per cubic centimeter. Bulk density data are used to compute linear extensibility, shrink-swell potential, available water capacity, total pore space, and other soil properties. The moist bulk density of a soil indicates the pore space available for water and roots. Depending on soil texture, a bulk density of more than 1.4 can restrict water storage and root penetration. Moist bulk density is influenced by texture, kind of clay, content of organic matter, and soil structure.

For each soil layer, this attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

## **Rating Options**

Units of Measure: grams per cubic centimeter Aggregation Method: Dominant Component Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher Interpret Nulls as Zero: No Layer Options (Horizon Aggregation Method): Depth Range (Weighted Average) Top Depth: 0 Bottom Depth: 60 Units of Measure: Inches



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey National Cooperative Soil Survey



Depth t	o Any	Soil	Restrictive	Layer
	J			<b>j</b>

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating (centimeters)	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
323C2	Casco loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	>200	1.6	2.6%
325B	Dresden silt loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	81	14.9	24.9%
325C2	Dresden silt loam, 4 to 6 <sup>L</sup> percent slopes, eroded	79	1.3	2.3%
327C2	Fox silt loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	81	0.1	0.1%
330A	Peotone silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	>200	0.1	0.2%
343A	Kane silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	74	1.5	2.6%
523A	Dunham silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	112	11.7	19.7%
527C2	Kidami loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	>200	5.4	9.1%
527D2	Kidami loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	>200	5.6	9.5%
618E	Senachwine silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	>200	2.1	3.5%
802B	Orthents, loamy, 1 to 6 percent slopes	>200	8.3	14.0%
1103A	Houghton muck, undrained, 0 to 2 percent slopes	>200	0.7	1.2%
W	Water	>200	6.2	10.3%
Totals for Area of Interest			59.6	100.0%

## Description

A "restrictive layer" is a nearly continuous layer that has one or more physical, chemical, or thermal properties that significantly impede the movement of water and air through the soil or that restrict roots or otherwise provide an unfavorable root environment. Examples are bedrock, cemented layers, dense layers, and frozen layers.

This theme presents the depth to any type of restrictive layer that is described for each map unit. If more than one type of restrictive layer is described for an individual soil type, the depth to the shallowest one is presented. If no restrictive layer is described in a map unit, it is represented by the "greater than 200" depth class.

This attribute is actually recorded as three separate values in the database. A low value and a high value indicate the range of this attribute for the soil component. A "representative" value indicates the expected value of this attribute for the component. For this soil property, only the representative value is used.

## **Rating Options**

Units of Measure: centimeters Aggregation Method: Dominant Component Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Lower Interpret Nulls as Zero: No



USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service





# Hydrologic Soil Group

Map unit symbol	Map unit name	Rating	Acres in AOI	Percent of AOI
103A	Houghton muck, 0 to 2 percent slopes	A/D	2.2	1.5%
193B	Mayville silt loam, 2 to 5 percent slopes	С	4.8	3.2%
323C2	Casco loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	В	11.8	7.9%
325A	Dresden silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	В	0.2	0.1%
325B	Dresden silt loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	В	32.1	21.5%
325C2	Dresden silt loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	В	7.1	4.8%
327B	Fox silt loam, 2 to 4 percent slopes	В	0.3	0.2%
327C2	Fox silt loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	В	6.1	4.1%
329A	Will loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	B/D	0.9	0.6%
330A	Peotone silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	C/D	1.7	1.2%
343A	Kane silt loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	B/D	1.7	1.2%
523A	Dunham silty clay loam, 0 to 2 percent slopes	B/D	18.1	12.1%
527C2	Kidami loam, 4 to 6 percent slopes, eroded	С	18.5	12.4%
527D2	Kidami loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded	С	10.3	6.9%
618E	Senachwine silt loam, 12 to 20 percent slopes	С	5.6	3.7%
802B	Orthents, loamy, 1 to 6 percent slopes	С	8.3	5.6%
1103A	Houghton muck, undrained, 0 to 2 percent slopes	A/D	12.7	8.5%
W	Water		6.8	4.5%
Totals for Area of Interest			149.3	100.0%

## Description

Hydrologic soil groups are based on estimates of runoff potential. Soils are assigned to one of four groups according to the rate of water infiltration when the soils are not protected by vegetation, are thoroughly wet, and receive precipitation from long-duration storms.

The soils in the United States are assigned to four groups (A, B, C, and D) and three dual classes (A/D, B/D, and C/D). The groups are defined as follows:

Group A. Soils having a high infiltration rate (low runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist mainly of deep, well drained to excessively drained sands or gravelly sands. These soils have a high rate of water transmission.

Group B. Soils having a moderate infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of moderately deep or deep, moderately well drained or well drained soils that have moderately fine texture to moderately coarse texture. These soils have a moderate rate of water transmission.

Group C. Soils having a slow infiltration rate when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of soils having a layer that impedes the downward movement of water or soils of moderately fine texture or fine texture. These soils have a slow rate of water transmission.

Group D. Soils having a very slow infiltration rate (high runoff potential) when thoroughly wet. These consist chiefly of clays that have a high shrink-swell potential, soils that have a high water table, soils that have a claypan or clay layer at or near the surface, and soils that are shallow over nearly impervious material. These soils have a very slow rate of water transmission.

If a soil is assigned to a dual hydrologic group (A/D, B/D, or C/D), the first letter is for drained areas and the second is for undrained areas. Only the soils that in their natural condition are in group D are assigned to dual classes.

### **Rating Options**

Aggregation Method: Dominant Condition Component Percent Cutoff: None Specified Tie-break Rule: Higher

Proposed Drainage Plan





**Calculations 1 BMPs** 



### Solar Runoff Requirements Dietrich BMP Volumes NLE By: EMB 6/12/2024 Checked: TAF 6/13/2024

County Requirements			
County:	Kane		
Runoff:	1	Inch	
Added Impervious	0.47	Acres	
Required Volume	1706.1	cu. Feet	

PV-SMaRT			
Post Conditions runoff depth for	0.02	inches	
1" of rainfall	0.02		
Total Site	29	Acres	
Required Volume	2105.4	cu. Feet	

BMP Summary			
County	1706.1	cu. Feet	
PV-SMaRT	2105.4	cu. Feet	
Provided	2868	cu. Feet	

BMP Runoff Depth

Soil Texture	Silt Loam
Soil Depth (inches)	46
Bulk Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.53
Vegetation Present	Newly Established Pollinator
Are Solar Panels Present?	YES
Panel Width (feet)	7
Panel Spacing (feet)	20
Array Orientation	Combination
Percent Slope	4

***BLUE CELLS REQUIRE USER INPUT***			
***MAROON CELLS REPRESENT TOOL OUTPUTS***			
Runoff Curve Number	72.8		
24-Hr Precip Event (inches)	1.00		
Expected Runoff (inches)	0.02		

Copyright © 2023 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved. Do not copy, reproduce and share without permission

### **Proposed Conditions** ELEVATION-STORAGE RELATIONSHIP

POND: JOB NO. PROJECT: FILE: DATE: SIDE SLOPES:	Outlet 1 NLE 00028 Kne County N:\NewLeaf\2300 12-Jun-24 4:1 (H:V)	040.00028 - 0 Die	trich Rd, Kane, S	olar\Drain\Spreads	heets\[NLE 00028 - Stag	e -Storage.xlsx]STO
ELEVATION	AR	EA	AVERAGE			CUMULATIVE
(ft)	(s.f.)	(ac)	(ac)	(ft)	(ac-ft)	(ac-ft)
892.00 NWL	-	0.055				0.00
893.00	-	0.077	0.066	1.00	0.066	0.07
				Tot	tal Volume in Cubic Feet	2868.43

**Calculations 2** 

**XP-SWMM** 



Node - Outlet 1



# Node - Outlet 1 Proposed



Node - Outlet 2



# Node - Outlet 2 Proposed



### Time of Concentration (Tc) or Travel Time (Tt)



### Time of Concentration (Tc) or Travel Time (Tt)



Project:	NLE 00028	By:		EMB	Date:	5/15/2024
Location:	Kane County	Checke	ed:		Date:	
File:	N:\NewLeaf\230040.00028 - 0 Dietrich Rd, Ka	1				
Circle One:	EXISTING PROPOSED	Description:	To Oulet 1			

Soil Name and Hydrologic Group (Appendix A)	Cover Description (cover type, treatment, and hydrologic condition; percent impervious; unconnected/connected impervious area ratio)	Curve Number Table Fig. Fig. 2-2 2-3 2-4			Area X acres sq. mi.	Product of Curve Number and Area
В	Row Crop SR	78			11.83	922.74
С	Row Crop SR	85			4.18	355.3
D	Row Crop SR	89			10.59	942.51
-	Roadway	98			0.15	14.7
-	Water	98				0
В	Woods	60			2.55	153
С	Woods	73			5.97	435.81
D	Woods	79			0.37	29.23
			То	tals =	35.640	2853.29

 $CN \text{ (weighted)} = \frac{\text{total product}}{\text{total area}} = \frac{2853.3}{35.64} = \frac{80.06}{35.64}$ 

Project:	NLE 00028	By:	E	MB Date:	5/15/2024
Location:	Kane County	Checked	d:	Date:	
File:	N:\NewLeaf\230040.00028 - 0 Dietrich Rd, Ka	al			
Circle One:	EXISTING PROPOSED	Description:	To Oulet 1		

Soil Name and Hydrologic Group (Appendix A)	Cover Description (cover type, treatment, and hydrologic condition; percent impervious; unconnected/connected impervious area ratio)	Curve Number Table Fig. Fig. 2-2 2-3 2-4			Area X acres sq. mi.	Product of Curve Number and Area
В	Row Crop SR	78			1.87	145.86
С	Row Crop SR	85			4.74	402.9
D	Row Crop SR	89			2.23	198.47
-	Roadway	98			0.58	56.84
-	Water	98			6.64	650.72
В	Woods	60				0
С	Woods	73			3.32	242.36
D	Woods	79			0.3	23.7
С	Open Space	79			3.65	288.35
			То	tals =	23.330	2009.2

CN (weighted) = total product total area

 $= \frac{2009.2}{23.33} = \frac{86.12}{23.33}$ 

Project:	NLE 00028		By:		EMB	Date:	5/15/2024
Location:	Kane County		Check	Checked:		Date:	
File:	N:\NewLeaf\230	040.00028 - 0 Dietrich Rd, K	ai				
Circle Ones	EVICTING		Descriptions	To Outot 4			
Circle One:	EXISTING	PROPOSED	Description:	To Oulet 1			

Soil Name and Hydrologic Group (Appendix A)	Cover Description (cover type, treatment, and hydrologic condition; percent impervious; unconnected/connected impervious area ratio)	Curve Number Table Fig. Fig. 2-2 2-3 2-4			Area X acres sq. mi.	Product of Curve Number and Area
В	Meadow	58			11.58	671.64
С	Meadow	71			4.18	296.78
D	Meadow	78			10.59	826.02
-	Roadway	98			0.4	39.2
-	Water	98				0
В	Woods	60			2.55	153
С	Woods	73			5.97	435.81
D	Woods	79			0.37	29.23
	l					
			То	tals =	35.640	2451.68

 $CN \text{ (weighted)} = \frac{\text{total product}}{\text{total area}} = \frac{2451.7}{35.64} = \frac{68.79}{2451}$ 

Project:	NLE 00028		By:		EMB	Date:	5/15/2024
Location:	Kane County		Check	ed:		Date:	
File:	N:\NewLeaf\230	040.00028 - 0 Dietrich Rd, K	ai				
Circle One:	EXISTING	PROPOSED	Description:	To Oulet 1			

Soil Name and Hydrologic Group	Cover Description (cover type, treatment, and hydrologic condition; percent impervious; unconnected/connected	Curve Number Table Fig. Fig.			Area X acres sq. mi.	Product of Curve Number and Area
(Appendix A)	Impervious area ratio)	2-2	2-3	2-4		
		╉──┤				
В	Meadow	58			1.87	108.46
С	Meadow	71			4.64	329.44
D	Meadow	78			2.23	173.94
-	Roadway	98			0.8	78.4
-	Water	98			6.64	650.72
В	Woods	60				0
С	Woods	73			3.32	242.36
D	Woods	79			0.3	23.7
С	Open Space	79			3.53	278.87
			То	tals =	23.330	1885.89

 $CN \text{ (weighted)} = \frac{\text{total product}}{\text{total area}} = \frac{1885.9}{23.33} = \frac{80.84}{2}$ 

**Calculations 3 PV-SMaRT** 



Soil Texture	Silt Loam
Soil Depth (inches)	46
Bulk Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.53
Vegetation Present	Row Crop (Straight Row, Poor Management)
Are Solar Panels Present?	NO
Panel Width (feet)	7
Panel Spacing (feet)	20
Array Orientation	Combination
Percent Slope	4

***BLUE CELLS REQUIRE USER INPUT***						
***MAROON CELLS REPRESENT TOOL OUTPUTS***						
Runoff Curve Number	73.8					
24-Hr Precip Event (inches)	10.00					
Expected Runoff (inches)	6.72					

Copyright © 2023 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved. Do not copy, reproduce and share without permission

Soil Texture	Silt Loam
Soil Depth (inches)	46
Bulk Density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.53
Vegetation Present	Newly Established Pollinator
Are Solar Panels Present?	YES
Panel Width (feet)	7
Panel Spacing (feet)	20
Array Orientation	Combination
Percent Slope	4

***BLUE CELLS REQUIRE USER INPUT***							
***MAROON CELLS REPRESENT TOOL OUTPUTS***							
Runoff Curve Number	72.8						
24-Hr Precip Event (inches)	10.00						
Expected Runoff (inches)	6.59						

Copyright © 2023 Regents of the University of Minnesota. All rights reserved. Do not copy, reproduce and share without permission

Weighted Averages to us in PVSMART								SUM						
Area	10.22	1.28	9.26	2.90	0.93	1.93	0.35	1.94	0.23	29.04				
Color in CAD	Purple	Pink	Yellow	Peach	Orange	Teal	Green	Blue	Dark Yellow	-				
NRCS Depth To Restriction	112	74	81	200	200	81	81	200	200	-	Weighted Depth to Restrictive layer			
A* V	1144.71	94.46	749.83	579.10	185.48	156.52	28.46	388.98	46.50	3374.04	116.19	cm	45.75	inches
NRCS Bulk Density	1.45	1.58	1.54	1.59	1.56	1.54	1.54	1.75	1.61	-	Weighted Bulk Density			
A* V	14.82	2.02	14.26	4.60	1.45	2.98	0.54	3.40	0.37	44.44	1.53	g/cm <sup>3</sup>		

Note: Enter Highligted value into PVSMART